

Impact of Anakinra Treatment on Cytokine and Lymphocytes/Monocytes Profile of an Erdheim-Chester Patient

Vliv anakinry na cytokinové profily a profily lymfocytů/monocytů u pacienta s Erdheim-Chesterovou nemocí

Ševčíková S.^{1,*}, Kubiczková L.^{1,*}, Sedláříková L.¹, Říhová L.¹, Kryukov F.¹, Szturz P.², Hájek R.¹, Pour L.², Adam Z.²

¹Babak Myeloma Group, Department of Pathological Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

²Department of Internal Medicine – Hematooncology, University Hospital Brno, Czech Republic

*These authors contributed equally.

Summary

Background: Erdheim-Chester disease (ECD) is a rare non-Langerhans cells histiocytosis associated with intense immune activation. In our clinical center, an ECD patient was treated with anakinra, IL-1RA (interleukin-1 receptor antagonist), resulting in clinical improvement and major decrease of pathological fatigue. The aim of the study was to evaluate changes in cytokine profile and shift of immune cells estimated by flow cytometric analysis of ECD patient before, during initial stages of anakinra treatment as well as after treatment ceased in comparison to healthy donors. **Methods:** Singleplex reactions of 19 individual cytokines from serum of ECD patient were measured by FACS array. Flow cytometric analyses were performed on peripheral blood cells. **Results:** The most striking result is substantial decrease of IL-6 immediately after anakinra treatment started suggesting a major role of IL-1 pathway in ECD pathophysiology. As for flow cytometric analysis, increased number of CD16⁺ monocytes before treatment is a new finding. **Conclusion:** Our results suggest that IL-6 may be a marker of early treatment response of ECD patients treated with anakinra.

Key words

Erdheim-Chester – anakinra – cytokines – flow cytometry – FACS array

Souhrn

Východiska: Erdheim-Chesterova nemoc (Erdheim-Chester disease – ECD) je vzácná histiocytóza tzv. „nelangerhansových buněk“ spojená s intenzivní aktivací imunitního systému. Na našem klinickém pracovišti byl ECD pacient léčen anakinrou. Anakinra je antagonistem receptoru pro interleukin-1 (interleukin-1 receptor antagonist – IL-1RA). Tato léčba vedla ke zlepšení klinického stavu a výraznému snížení patologické únavy provázející toto onemocnění. Cílem této práce bylo analyzovat změny cytokinových profilů a změnu v zastoupení buněk imunitního systému pomocí průtokové cytometrie u ECD pacienta na počátku léčby anakinrou i po jejím skončení a srovnat je s profily zdravých dárčů. **Metody:** Singleplex reakce 19 jednotlivých cytokinů ze séra ECD pacienta byly měřeny pomocí metody FACS array. Dále byla provedena analýza buněk z periferní krve pomocí průtokové cytometrie. **Výsledky:** Nejzajímavějším výsledkem bylo výrazné snížení hladiny IL-6 bezprostředně po zahájení léčby anakinrou. Tyto výsledky naznačují významnou roli dráhy IL-1 v patofyziologii ECD. Pomocí průtokové cytometrie jsme zaznamenali významné zvýšení počtu CD16⁺ monocytů před zahájením léčby, což je zcela nový poznatek. **Závěr:** Naše výsledky naznačují, že IL-6 by se mohl stát markerem časně odpovědi na léčbu u ECD pacientů léčených anakinrou.

Klíčová slova

Erdheim-Chesterova nemoc – anakinra – cytokiny – průtoková cytometrie – FACS array

This study was supported by grants of the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport MSM0021622434, grants of Internal Grant Agency of the Czech Ministry of Health NT14575, NT12130, grant of the Czech Ministry of Health – conceptual development of research organization (FNBr, 65269705), and grant of Masaryk University MUNI/A/0723/2012.

Práce byla podpořena grantem MŠMT ČR MSM 0021622434, granty IGA MZ ČR NT14575, NT12130, grantem MZ ČR – RVO (FNBr, 65269705) a grantem Masarykovy univerzity MUNI/A/0723/2012.

The authors declare they have no potential conflicts of interest concerning drugs, products, or services used in the study.

Autoři deklarují, že v souvislosti s předmětem studie nemají žádné komerční zájmy.

The Editorial Board declares that the manuscript met the ICMJE “uniform requirements” for biomedical papers.

Redakční rada potvrzuje, že rukopis práce splnil ICMJE kritéria pro publikace zasílané do biomedicínských časopisů.



RNDr. Sabina Ševčíková, Ph.D.

Babak Myeloma Group
Department of Pathological Physiology
Faculty of Medicine
Masaryk University
Kamenice 5, A4
625 00 Brno
Czech Republic
e-mail: sevcik@med.muni.cz

Submitted/Obdrženo: 21. 2. 2014

Accepted/Přijato: 10. 4. 2014

Introduction

Erdheim-Chester disease (ECD) is a rare non-Langerhans cells histiocytosis that was first described by Dr. Erdheim's student Dr. Chester in 1930 [1]. It is a heterogeneous systemic disease involving bones, lungs, skin, retro-orbital tissues, central nervous system (CNS), pituitary gland, vessels, kidneys, retroperitoneum and heart. Clinical course of ECD depends on the extent and distribution of the disease, which may range from asymptomatic bone lesions to multisystemic, life-threatening forms with poor prognosis, especially in the case of specific CNS or cardiovascular involvement [2].

ECD diagnosis is currently based on clinical, radiologic and pathologic features with infiltration of organs by CD68⁺/CD1a⁺ foamy histiocytes. ECD treatment includes steroids, cytotoxic agents, such as cladribine, interferon α (IFN- α), recombinant human interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA), tyrosine kinase inhibitors, bisphosphonates and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation but an optimal therapeutic strategy remains to be defined [3].

ECD is associated with intense systemic immune activation, mainly involving IFN- α , interleukin 1 (IL-1)/IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-RA), IL-6, IL-12, and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), consistent with systemic immune Th-1-oriented disturbance associated with this disorder [3]. Increased concentrations of inflammatory cytokines increase also C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation. Increased production of inflammatory cytokines is responsible for crippling fatigue of these patients [4].

A report of an ECD patient treated in our center with anakinra (Kineret, Sobi), a human recombinant IL-1RA, was previously published [4]. In brief, the ECD patient was initially treated with 2-chlorodeoxyadenosine (cladribine) and later with lenalidomide with some improvement of clinical manifestation of the disease but no change in pathological fatigue. That is why anakinra treatment was used for three months. Anakinra was given to the patient once daily as s.c. injection of 100 mg, which led to impro-

vement of clinical manifestations of the disease as well as significant decrease of pathological fatigue experienced by the patient.

Based on a paper published by Arnaud et al [2], which analyzed effect of IFN- α treatment on a network of 23 serum cytokines of ECD patients, we profiled levels of chosen 19 serum cytokines of a single ECD patient treated with anakinra. Moreover, we performed flow cytometric analysis of lymphocytes and monocytes from peripheral blood of this patient. All samples were obtained before treatment, during initial treatment and after treatment.

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to measure such a panel of cytokines as well as a flow cytometric panel during the initial phases of anakinra treatment of an ECD patient.

Material and methods

Patients and healthy controls

Serum and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) samples were obtained from whole peripheral blood of a male ECD patient during treatment with human recombinant IL-1RA (anakinra). As a control for cytokine profiles, two age-matched healthy males were used. All samples were obtained at the University Hospital Brno, Czech Republic, only after obtaining informed consent approved by the Ethical committee of the Faculty Hospital Brno in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Altogether, 26 samples (serum for cytokines measurements) from the patient were obtained: 4 samples on day 4 and 3 before the treatment. Once anakinra treatment started, 3 serum samples were obtained each day (morning, noon and evening). Anakinra was given to the patient each day immediately after morning sampling. Then, samples were obtained 1, 3 and 4 weeks after hospitalization and then 4 weeks after treatment ceased. At the same time, whole peripheral blood samples from the patient were obtained once per day for flow cytometric analysis during the entire sampling period.

Sample preparation and cytokine measurement

Blood samples were collected and processed immediately. After centrifuga-

tion at 2 000 g for 10 min, serum samples were stored at -80 °C and thawed only once for cytokine measurement using Human FlowCytomix Simplex Kits (TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-1RA, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-17A, IFN- α , IFN- γ , IP-10, MCP-1, MIG, MIP-1 α , MIP- β , all e-Bioscience, San Diego, USA). Samples were measured according to manufacturers' recommendations by two-step incubation assay: first incubation with Biotin-Conjugate mixture and second incubation with Streptavidin-PE solution. For all cytokines, standard dilution curves were prepared, and all samples were measured in triplicates on BD FACS Array using BD FACS Array System Software and further analyzed by FlowCytomix Pro, v3.0. Data were analyzed using standard descriptive statistics (min–max); for analysis of continuous parameters relationship, the Spearman correlation coefficient was adopted.

Flow cytometry

Anticoagulated peripheral blood samples were incubated with the following monoclonal antibodies: CD38-Pacific Blue (Exbio), CD45-Krome Orange (Beckman Coulter), CD3-FITC/CD16⁺56-PE (Beckman Coulter), CD19-PC7 (Beckman Coulter), CD8-PerCP (Exbio), CD4-APC (Exbio), HLA-DR-APC-eFluor780 (eBioscience) and lysed with ammonium chloride. Analyses were done by flow cytometer FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences) equipped with 3 lasers in 8-color setting. About 0.25–0.3 \times 10⁶ cells per sample were analyzed. Relative counts of lymphocytes, monocytes (according to CD45 vs complexity) and especially CD56⁺ monocytes, were assessed as well as CD3⁺ T, CD3⁺CD4⁺ Th, CD3⁺CD8⁺ Tc, CD19⁺ B, CD3-CD16⁺CD56⁺ NK, CD3⁺CD16⁺CD56⁺ NKT lymphocytes and subpopulations of CD3⁺HLA-DR⁺ and CD8⁺CD38⁺ activated T lymphocytes were determined.

Results

Flow cytometric analysis

Total of 12 analyses were performed during the patient's follow-up with no significant changes in relative numbers of selected subpopulations when data before (three analyses), during (eight analyses) and after the treatment (one analysis)

were compared. In great detail, relative number of lymphocytes increased during treatment (median 18% (range 14–19)) and after anakinra withdrawal decreased again to similar level (12%) as before treatment (11% (11–15)). Number of monocytes slightly increased during the treatment as well, from 8% (7–8) to 11% (8–12), but more interesting is reduction of slightly increased amount of CD16⁺ monocytes from 11.0% (8.4–12.0) to 8.6% (5.5–13.6) during the treatment to only 4.9% after anakinra withdrawal (Fig. 1). The reduction is also observed when compared with healthy controls (median 9.8% (4.4–14.4)).

As for T cells, number of CD3⁺ T lymphocytes was physiological during the whole time; however, percentage of CD4⁺ Th lymphocytes was reduced when compared with physiological number (18.2% (16.0–19.7) vs 17.9% (14.9–22.5) vs 19.2%) and percentage of CD8⁺ Tc lymphocytes was increased (47.6% (47.3–52.0) vs 47.9% (40.9–52.0) vs 48.7%). Hence, immunoregulatory index (IRI), the ratio of CD4⁺ Th/CD8⁺ Tc lymphocytes (0.4 (0.3–0.4) vs 0.4 (0.3–0.6) vs 0.4) was inversed. Concerning B cells, their number was normal, as well as numbers of activated CD3⁺HLA-DR and CD8⁺CD38⁺ T lymphocytes. Further, number of NK cells (20.2% (17.1–22.3) vs 20.9% (17.4–24.8) vs 21.1%) as well as NKT lymphocytes (20.0% (14.6–21.0) vs 19.6% (16.8–22.9) vs 19.9%) was slightly higher than normal. We assume that there was no considerable effect of treatment on relative count of analyzed immunocompetent cells (Tab. 1).

Cytokine profile during anakinra treatment

Total of 26 analyses of 19 cytokines were performed on serum samples of the ECD patient as well as two age-matched healthy controls (Fig. 2). Levels of macrophage inflammatory protein 1 α and β (MIP-1 α , MIP-1 β), monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), interferon- γ -inducible protein-10 (IP-10), IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA), monokine induced by interferon- γ (MIG), IL-6, IL-8 and interferon- α (IFN- α) were detectable in patient's follow-up serum




Fig. 1. Detection of CD16⁺ monocytes.

Subpopulation is visualised (black dots) together with rest of cells including monocytes (dark grey dots) and leukocytes (light grey dots) (C). CD16⁺ monocytes create a separate population of monocytes with lower FSC and SSC (A, B). Analyses were done by Infinicyt software (Cytognos). The APS (Automatic Population Separator) dot plot is presented to clearly separate and visualize the events (D).

samples and in healthy controls. However, levels of IL-17A, IL-2, IL-10, IL-4, IL-1 β were present in patient's samples only at three (3/5) time points before the treatment (IL-17A: 23.6–33.1 pg/ml, IL-2: 186.1–252.7 pg/ml, IL-10: 0.41–11.48 pg/ml, 54.7–102.9 pg/ml, 2.75–25.1 pg/ml). Levels of IL-13, IL-5, IL12-p70, IFN- γ and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α) were not detectable at all, either in patient or healthy control samples and were excluded from further analyses.

IL-6, IL-8 and IP-10 were found to be reduced in samples obtained during the treatment and increased after treatment withdrawal. There was a decrease of IL-6 in the samples taken during the treatment when compared to the samples taken prior to treatment

(0 pg/ml vs 18.23–6.96 pg/ml resp.), and levels of IL-6 were higher in patient's untreated samples than in healthy controls (18.23–6.96 pg/ml vs 0 pg/ml). IL-8 was present in patient's serum prior to treatment (25.4–82.3 pg/ml); during the treatment, its levels fluctuated between detectable and undetectable levels, and after first five doses of anakinra, its level was stable (14.6–58.9 pg/ml). As for IP-10, there was an increased level of this cytokine in untreated samples (65.7–164.1 pg/ml) when compared with healthy controls (30.0–34.6 pg/ml). IP-10 was further reduced during the first five days of treatment (0–83.4 pg/ml); however, after first five days of therapy, it increased considerably (125.7–189.6 pg/ml); one month after treatment withdrawal, it was com-

Tab. 1. Flow cytometric analysis of ECD patient. Numbers indicate median of percentage of cell population measured from the whole blood and interquartile range.

Subpopulations/time of acquisition	Before treatment (n = 3)	During treatment (n = 8)	After treatment (n = 1)
lymphocytes	11 (11–15)	18 (14–19)	12
monocytes	8 (7–8)	11 (8–12)	10
CD16 ⁺ monocytes	11.0 (8.4–12.0)	8.6 (5.5–13.6)	4.9
CD3 ⁺ T lymphocytes	68.4 (67.2–69.1)	67.6 (63.9–71.1)	68.8
CD3 ⁺ CD4 ⁺ Th lymphocytes	18.2 (16.0–19.7)	17.9 (14.9–22.5)	19.2
CD3 ⁺ CD8 ⁺ Tc lymphocytes	47.6 (47.3–52.0)	47.9 (40.9–52.0)	48.7
CD19 ⁺ B lymphocytes	9.4 (7.3–14.3)	11.5 (9.0–15.6)	9.8
CD3 [–] CD16 ⁺ 56 ⁺ NK lymphocytes	20.2 (17.1–22.3)	20.9 (17.4–24.8)	21.1
CD3 ⁺ CD16 ⁺ 56 ⁺ NKT lymphocytes	20.0 (14.6–21.0)	19.6 (16.8–22.9)	19.9
CD3 ⁺ HLA-DR ⁺ activated lymphocytes	6.0 (5.0–6.5)	5.8 (3.3–8.3)	5.2
CD8 ⁺ CD38 ⁺ activated lymphocytes	0.3 (0.2–1.0)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)	0.6
IRI (Th/Tc)	0.4 (0.3–0.4)	0.4 (0.3–0.6)	0.4

parable to levels before the treatment (93.2 pg/ml). Furthermore, IFN- α level was detectable only in two (2/5) samples before treatment (193.6–318.3 pg/ml), it decreased to undetectable level prior the treatment, continued to be undetectable during the treatment and then reappeared again after five doses of treatment (31.3–617.5 pg/ml).

MIP-1 α and MIG levels in patient's samples did not display any visible change between the initial and the follow-up evaluation; however, MIP-1 α levels were increased when compared with healthy controls (1,929.6–2,543.8 pg/ml vs 104.7–216.1 pg/ml), but no change between patient and healthy controls was observed for MIG. Although MIP-1 β , MCP-1 and IL-1RA fluctuated during the follow-up (MIP-1 β : 54.8–145.8 pg/ml; MCP-1: 4,485.5–11,836.9 pg/ml; IL-1RA: 983.7–3,495.6 pg/ml), there was no considerable difference in the cytokines levels connected to the treatment; as for MIP-1 β and MCP-1, there was no difference when compared with healthy controls (MIP-1 β : 41.1–52.6 pg/ml; MCP-1: 5,283.8–5,664.9 pg/ml); however, IL-1RA levels were reduced in healthy controls (364.0–452.9 pg/ml) (Tab. 2).

Markers of inflammation, such as CRP and ferritin, were increased before the treatment (CRP: 19.7–22.1 mg/l, ferritin: 33.3 μ g/l) and then decreased during

anakinra treatment (CRP: 0.0–14.4 mg/l, ferritin: 33.3–11.3 μ g/l) and increased again after treatment withdrawal (CRP: 12.8 mg/l, ferritin 20.2 μ g/l) [4]. We found a significant positive correlation between CRP or ferritin and some of the cytokines. Levels of CRP were associated with levels of MIP-1 α ($p = 0.01$, $rs = 0.733$) and levels of ferritin with levels of IL1RA ($p = 0.016$, $rs = 0.847$).

Discussion

Erdheim-Chester is a rare disease that is hard to treat although currently there are several treatment options. None of them work long-term, and although IFN- α treatment showed some promise, it cannot be used for all patients. There are some studies suggesting a major role of IL-1 in ECD. As IFN- α induces IL-1RA, the natural negative regulator of IL-1, it seems to suggest that IFN- α therapy modulates the IL-1 pathway. Thus, the therapy with human recombinant form of IL-1RA has been reported to be effective and safe in several auto-inflammatory diseases, such as cold auto-inflammatory periodic fever and familial Mediterranean fever, in which IL-1 has a pivotal role [5].

Anakinra is a recombinant antagonist of IL-1R. IL-1 is a major inducer of a cascade of inflammatory cytokines. In ECD, after IL-1R is blocked by anakinra, IL-1 produced by histiocytes cannot induce production of

more inflammatory cytokines. Thus, anakinra can significantly decrease systemic inflammatory reaction of these patients as well as major fatigue that is often crippling for these patients [4].

So far, there are only three case reports of using anakinra for ECD patients, including the report from our clinical center. In the first study, Aouba et al [5] showed marked clinical improvement in two ECD patients connected to decrease in CRP and decrease of mean fluorescence intensity of IL-1 α on monocytes. A recent study by Killu et al [6] used anakinra on an ECD patient with cardiac involvement but reported only clinical improvement of the patient.

In our clinical center, an ECD patient was treated with anakinra with major decrease of fatigue of the patient as well as clinical manifestations of the disease; decrease in CRP was also noted [4]. As a follow-up of the clinical study, we analyzed cytokine profile of this ECD patient. Unlike Aouba et al [5] who concentrated only on several cytokines, we decided to analyze serum levels of cytokines based on a study by Arnaud et al [2]. That study is the largest study of ECD patients – in total, 37 ECD patients treated with IFN- α were included in this study. Cytokine levels before and after treatment and in comparison to healthy controls were analyzed in that study.




Fig. 2. Cytokine profile of serum samples of ECD patient and two healthy donors (HD).

Cytokine levels in pg/ml before (-4, -3) ECD patient treatment, during the treatment with anakinra (1–73) and 40 days after treatment withdrawal (+40) are expressed. Mean levels of cytokines in healthy donors (HD) are displayed as well.

Unlike Arnaud, we decided to frequently monitor initial cytokine fluctuations using singleplex measurement of each cytokine. Four serum samples were obtained before treatment. Then, while the patient was hospitalized, in the initial phase of treatment, the samples were obtained three times a day

(at 8 am, 12 pm and 6 pm) for seven days, then at several time points after hospitalization and after treatment withdrawal.

In our cytokine analysis of the ECD patient, we were not able to show the ECD cytokine signature as published by Arnaud et al [2]. In their study, they

suggested the existence of a five cytokine profile (IFN- α , IL-12, MCP-1, IL-4 and IL-7) that distinguishes ECD patients from healthy donors. We were not able to detect IL-12p70 in our samples at all, and IL-4 was detectable only at 3/5 time points before treatment. The original analysis of Arnaud exclu-

Tab. 2. Cytokine profile of ECD patient and healthy controls before, during and after anakinra treatment. Numbers indicate mean levels of cytokines in pg/ml in each measurement.

Days of treatment	Cytokines pg/ml								
	MIP-1 β	MCP-1	MIP-1 α	IL-8	IL-6	IFN- α	IP-10	IL-1RA	MIG
-4	108.99	11,731.33	2,450.82	25.44	6.96	318.34	160.32	2,245.16	749.21
	77.94	11,836.88	2,218.41	43.17	13.17	193.64	159.39	983.74	312.43
-3	80.53	9,010.56	2,543.76	33.81	18.23	0.00	98.56	1,531.87	592.10
	75.71	8,355.35	2,307.63	44.88	11.94	0.00	65.73	1,166.86	345.13
1	147.83	10,170.06	2,431.08	82.25	16.78	0.00	164.10	1,546.45	313.51
	65.32	7,808.15	2,067.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	103.74	1,829.67	448.00
	45.77	7,748.09	2,332.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,125.53	481.17
2	105.42	8,674.66	2,389.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	70.11	1,856.12	339.89
	66.00	6,806.08	2,210.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,361.98	395.72
	63.47	7,437.28	2,175.90	26.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,349.94	333.33
3	76.35	6,799.39	2,031.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	74.75	1,872.54	324.28
	66.51	7,576.73	2,120.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.80	1,143.31	349.18
	57.77	7,495.56	2,142.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	77.71	1,140.68	309.19
4	57.59	6,550.05	2,158.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.39	1,687.41	508.95
	69.22	6,175.97	2,276.60	35.33	0.00	0.00	58.54	2,430.54	693.11
	55.27	4,485.54	2,084.57	33.55	0.00	0.00	16.69	2,806.92	344.61
5	63.10	5,835.89	2,046.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.69	3,495.64	504.64
	54.85	5,556.90	2,101.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.86	1,151.70	287.24
	70.63	6,257.68	2,052.61	23.08	0.00	0.00	39.06	2,322.80	539.61
23	74.63	9,268.55	1,929.64	16.88	0.00	0.00	173.22	1,642.79	466.21
29	80.66	8,080.07	1,993.24	58.88	0.00	510.89	189.64	2,033.70	627.09
50	74.15	7,752.87	2,084.75	30.10	0.00	198.68	137.73	1,228.53	449.92
73	75.37	6,691.78	2,120.06	14.58	0.00	31.31	125.67	1,263.64	496.82
+40 TW	66.89	4,859.19	2,293.57	23.15	0.73	617.48	93.15	1,727.01	510.82
HD1	41.05	5,283.78	104.70	16.69	0.00	231.37	29.95	452.93	533.76
HD2	52.56	5,664.94	216.09	16.88	0.00	293.59	34.58	363.97	77.35

ded 4 ECD samples as they did not have this signature [2]; so it is possible that our patient would fall into this category as well.

Of the measured cytokines, the most interesting findings are undetectable levels of IL-6 during the treatment suggesting that anakinra is able to decrease the intense immune system activation of this patient. The major role of IL-1 pathway in ECD seems to hold true even in the case of our ECD patient. Clinical findings of the patient are in concordance with our measurements, as the

patient reported decreased fatigue on the second day of anakinra treatment.

In general, the cytokine profiles follow clinical findings of the patient that had major improvement in quality of life as the pathological fatigue disappeared during anakinra treatment.

As IL-1 family cytokines are essentially produced by monocytes and macrophages, we analyzed monocytes in detail. Unlike Myra et al [7], we did not find any moncytosis. On the other hand, we found slightly increased presence of CD16⁺ monocytes persisting in the ECD patient be-

fore treatment – a fact that has not been published yet. Population of CD16⁺ monocytes is usually increased during acute inflammatory illness as well as during chronic inflammatory illnesses such as rheumatoid arthritis and HIV infections [8]. Reduced number of these cells in the 1st week of treatment, was followed by their increased number, so the effect of anakinra on this population seems to be only temporary, and this subpopulation is probably not involved in clinical manifestation of ECD. Relative numbers of selected lymphocyte subpopulations, including

activated forms, were not affected by anakinra treatment, so we found no effect of treatment on immunocompetent cells.

Fluctuation of cytokines found in this study mirrors the disappearance of clinical manifestations of the disease; however, this change is only temporary connected to anakinra administration.

Thus, based on this paper as well as the previous clinical study, we believe that anakinra treatment may be a viable option for treatment of ECD patients. While anakinra does not cure the disease, it suppresses ECD consequences and markedly improves the quality of life of

ECD patients. In our opinion, anakinra is showing a great potential for eliminating at least some symptoms of ECD.

References

1. Chester W. Über Lipoidgranulomatose. Virchows archiv für pathologische anatomie und physiologie und für klinische medizin 1930; 279(2): 561–602.
2. Arnaud L, Gorochov G, Charlotte F et al. Systemic perturbation of cytokine and chemokine networks in Erdheim-Chester disease: a single-center series of 37 patients. Blood 2011; 117(10): 2783–2790. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-10-313510.
3. Haroche J, Arnaud L, Cohen-Aubart F et al. Erdheim-Chester disease. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2013; 39(2): 299–311. doi: 10.1016/j.rdc.2013.02.011.
4. Adam Z, Szturz P, Bučková P et al. Interleukin-1 receptor blockade with anakinra provided cessation of fatigue, reduction in inflammation markers and regression of retroperitoneal fibrosis in a patient with Erdheim-Chester disease – case study and a review of literature. Vnitr Lek 2012; 58(4): 313–318.
5. Aouba A, Georgin-Lavialle S, Pagnoux C et al. Rationale and efficacy of interleukin-1 targeting in Erdheim-Chester disease. Blood 2010; 116: 4070–4076. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-04-279240.
6. Killu AM, Liang JJ, Jaffe AS. Erdheim-Chester disease with cardiac involvement successfully treated with anakinra. Int J Cardiol 2013; 167(5): e115–e117. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2013.04.057.
7. Myra C, Sloper L, Tighe PJ et al. Treatment of Erdheim-Chester disease with cladribine: a rational approach. Br J Ophthalmol 2004; 88(6): 844–847.
8. Grip O, Bredberg A, Lindgren S et al. Increased subpopulations of CD16(+) and CD56(+) blood monocytes in patients with active Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2007; 13(5): 566–572.



4.–5. září 2014, Brno

Masarykova univerzita
Univerzitní kampus Brno-Bohunice

Zaregistrujte se na:

www.biologickedny2014.cz



ČESKOSLOVENSKÁ
BIOLOGICKÁ SPOLEČNOST

Organizační sekretariát

Congress Business Travel
Lidická 43/66, 150 00 Praha 5 - Anděl

Tel.: (+420) 224 942 575, 224 224 646
Fax: (+420) 224 942 550
Email: biologickedny2014@cbtravel.cz